

Meeting: June 24 - 8:00 PDT/10:00CDT/16:00 GMT

Agenda

- attendance

- Mark Gisi (Windriver)
- Tom Incorvia (Micro Focus)
- Daniel German (U of Vic.)
- Phil Oden (Blackduck)
- Phil Robb (HP)
- Bill Schineller (Blackduck)
- Kate Stewart (Freescale)

- approval of prior minutes (2010/06/17 - see below)

- no issues raised.

- use of SPDX TM while pursuing trademark filing - **Rockett**

- Al: Rocket to mail out summary to package-facts list of guidelines we should all be following when using SPDX to preserve trademark.

- meeting schedule until August:

June 24 - technical - Kate to host

July 1 - marketing - Phil to host *** Phil to mail **out different dial in number**.

July 15 - regular - technical & marketing *** use Phil's number for this one too.

July 22 - technical - Kate to host

July 29 - regular - technical & marketing

- resume working through open issues from last week's agenda on the document in <https://fossbazaar.org/wiki/spdx/specification>

3 - continued...

- **3.2.7 Declared Copyright Holder of package**

- **3.2.8 Declared Copyright Date of package**

- rewrite to match 5.2.4? - **Kim? Kate?**

- extended characters permitted?

- Bill agrees with combining fields like 5.2.4.

- Based on analysis work done in research, Daniel G. recommends we just make this a freeform field to capture whatever copyright text is found, and refine it subsequent versions.

- **resolution:** version 1 will ust have Copyright field, with freeform text. Kate to add to next draft.

- 3.2.9 Pithy Description field

- make optional.
- mail list indicated 2 fields, short desc and description is direction. concerns? coordinate with FOSDEM approach?
http://fosdem.org/2010/schedule/events/dist_pkg_desc (align with freshmeat API?)
- need owner to code up spec for these two fields based on email threads and RDF notation- Jeff?
- discussed this, and felt best approach was to align with RDF in example by Gary.
(with short and long descriptions present.
- resolution: Kate to add to next draft following syntax proposed in example. To be scrubbed in next review cycle.

4 License text

- 4.2.2 Extracted Text.

- **need to** clean up syntax to align with RDF. - who?
- resolution: Kate will take first pass; Bill S. will review next draft and make any necessary corrections.

5 File Specific information:

- 5.1 One entry for every file in package

- **do we have** counter proposal - or go with this for version 1?
- resolution: for **version 1** - we go with one entry for every file. This will be revisited in next version.

- 5.2.1 Full File Name

- **anyone** remember why we wouldn't want to include directory information if it exists?
- resolution: use **directory info in full file name if it exists.**

- 5.2.2 File Type

- **archives** - defer to next version. leave as other for now - file name will give info in most cases. concerns?

- resolution: archives will **be added as a** valid options. This field is optional. If present, current options are:

- source: source file
 - binary: executable file, images will be considered as binaries for now as well.
 - archive: file of other files (note this permits summarizing packages of packages)
 - other: does not fit into one of the above categories.
- Al Kate: These definitions need to be added to Section 6.

- 5.2.3 License(s)

- **aligned with 3.2.6** now - any concerns?

- resolution: this field will follow **syntax of 3.2.6**. Valid values will be either:

- short form license reference (per Appendix I)
- reference to license in section 4 (note: full text is present in section 4 - must be exact)
- unknown - can't tell if a license present or not (example: binary file)
- none - no license detected in file source itself (may be higher level COPYING, but nothing in file itself)

- 5.2.4 Copyrights at file level

- **do we** want "none" vs. "none found"?
 - reproduce all copyrights found in syntax - ok?
- resolution: freeform text field, and **"unknown"** if no copyright detected.

- 5.2.6 Package(s) (optional)

- **do we want this in v1** - if so need it filled in (purpose, intent tag, dataformat, example. Kim?

- resolution: drop from **version 1**

- 5.2.7 Hash on File (optional)

- **SHA-1 to be used**
- **who** owns writeup in text?

- resolution: Kate will update in next draft. This **needs to match what's occurring at analysis level.**

6 Definitions

- **needs a pass through document highlighting terms** and adding to area to be filled in. volunteers?
- AI: Mark will take a pass **through and** add into **WIKI all terms he see that need definition in next** draft. Ownership of filling in definitions will occur in next meeting.

Appendix I

- **create table with approved licenses, and then full** text into document.
- AI: Kate to put in next draft based on info from **maillist.**

Appendix II

- **examples - which do we want to choose** to illustrate spec?
- who drives? no owner - drop?
- AI: Phil O. will lead discussion of candidates **to be considered to be used at next meeting**

Appendix III

- **Rockett put the terms up, please** review - all
- AI: all - please respond to **mail list** if **issues.**

From 6/17 meeting, here is the status of the action items:

AI: Rockett will work with Karen to get SPDX 1.0 **on agenda** for legal counsel meeting.

- pending

AI: All - review **license** text and **intent fields** **and** provide feedback at next meeting.

- pending.

AI: Rockett to revisit 3.2.6 **intent field** **description.**

- pending.

AI: Rockett/Bradley - **boilerplate disclaimer**

- **Rockett** - expects to be talking to **Bradley** - **will follow up.**

AI: Kate to update **Standard License Short Form** list with input from call and mail list, include with next draft version for Appendix 1.

- pending.

AI: Kate to summarize all **current fields** in **table** for 6/18
- done.

AI: Bill to take first pass at **proposal for RDF tags**.
- done. mailed out before meeting.

AI: Bill to mail out **RDF syntax checker** link
- done. <http://www.w3.org/RDF/Validator/>

AI: Gary to look at [pretty print of RDF](#) in 6 **month** window (ie. not August, but after).
- pending.

AI: Michael H to take pass at **text in WIKI** to **describe XML** approach and encoding used.
(1.3.5, 1.5.5, etc.) - need text on decision to use **XML approach**

AI: Michael H to ping Phillipe for details on **issue with** filepath when using UTF-8. UTF-8 is still best choice for 1.5.4.

- pending.

AI: Kate to ping Jack for **outlook on update to 2.2.1.1** language to describe Major/Minor
- Jack confirmed he'll update (6/24)

AI: Kate to ping Bradley K if he has proposal **on Review** field (2.2.5), Rockett to follow up.
- pending.

AI: Michael H. to make proposal **if download URL is blank** or not applicable (3.2.3)

- pending.

Thanks again to all who participated in the **extra meeting today**, it is making it possible for us to close this definition in time to line up with the LinuxCon initiative. :)

Corrections/feedback/suggestions - please post the mail list.

Kate