JUNE 17, 2010 Tech Working Session

Plan for the meeting was to come to closure on some of
the outstanding technical issues from the F2F and mail list discussions.
https://fossbazaar.org/wiki/spdx/specification

Original agenda items were in black - minutes and comments are in blue.

- attendance
- Tom Incorvia (MicroFocus)
- Gary O'Neal (Source Auditor)
- Mark Gisi (Windriver)
- Phil Robb (HP)
- Martin Michlmayr (HP)
- Michael Herzog (nexB)
- Esteban Rockett (Motorola)
- Kate Stewart (Freescale)
- Bill Schineller (Black Duck)
- Marshall Clow (Qualcomm)

- approval of prior minutes
- approved.

- update on Trademark - **Roc**kett
- results from trademark search were uncontroversial.
- information has been transferred to Linux Foundation (Karen Copenhaver)
- Linux Foundation will be filing for Trademark.

- outreach and Evangelism upda**te -** Kate

- overview Linux Foundation Legal Compliance initiative
  - training material white papers rolling out now from LF.
  - SPDX role in LinuxCon.
    - LinuxCon is 8/10-8/12
  - v1.0 spec ready for 8/10?
    - moving to weekly working sessions to close remaining actions
    - signed off's in place by 8/1?
      - working on closing open items listed below before 8/1
    - legal counsel review before 8/10?   sign off from them?
      - Rockett expects LF legal counsel meeting to move to early in August

- New AI**: Rocke**tt will work with Karen to get SPDX 1.0 on agenda for legal counsel meeting.

- WIKI is being rearranged to be more hierarchical, please let Kate & Martin know
  if something has a broken link/missing/etc.
    - Martin has completed rearrangement.   Thanks! :)

- changes to the spec since last meeting can be found here
https://fossbazaar.org/wiki/spdx/specification
  - reversioning based on input from today's meeting - Kate
    - didn't make through all the comments - will revise after next meeting.
  - orphaned comments from F2F with no follow up - remove from v1?
    - agreed by participants, if no representative/advocate at meeting, or email
comment to
    minutes, then orphaned comments/proposals will be pulled from v1.

- Licensing and Intent fields

- updates from Rockett (license wording added at top and Appendix III added to
spec) - review & feedback next meeting - all

New AI**: Al**l - review license text and provide feedback at next meeting.

- contributors will be based on participants at F2F and commentors on mail list -
concerns?
    - no, sounded ok.

- intent on fields added by Rockett. - closed unless feedback posted to WIKI or
maillist on specific.
New AI**: Rocket**t to revisit 3.2.6 intent field description.

- still needed to close:
  - contributors added to top of spec **- Rockett**
    - Rockett - has info, working through.
  - boilerplate disclaime**r - Rockett/Bradle**y
    - Rockett - expects to be talking to Bradley - will follow up.

- Appendix I. Standard License Short Forms:

- Kate posted revised list with input from mail list:

- used "-" consistently throughout.
- split up BSD per Tom Incorvia's recommendations
- removed functionaly identical licenses duplicates (MIT, etc.)

- Daniel German posted list of licenses Ninka finds:
https://fossbazaar.org/mailman/private/package-facts/2010-June/000174.html

- any from there we feel are "must add" to short form list?
  - yes, see email from Mark Gisi, etc.
  Ruby, CPL popular in embedded space,
  GPL with bison & flex exceptions
- Bill Schineller - any in Black Duck top set that aren't present in new list? top 80% covered?
    - see followup email.
- any issues when reviewing new version of list?
    - very good input from discussion, and on email list.
New AI: Kate to update Standard License Short Form list with input from call and mail list, include with next draft version.

- RDF next steps?

- all agree answers extensibility issues?
    yes, seems to.
- Tag rework - who take lead?
    Bill agreed to take lead.
    New AI: Kate to summarize all current fields in table for 6/18 - done.
    New AI: Bill to take fist pass at proposal for RDF tags.
- RDF syntax checker - link?
    New AI: Bill to mail out link - done.
http://www.w3.org/RDF/Validator/
- tool to "pretty-print" RDF version into line separated tag: annotated version
 way to demonstrate using format - Phillipe/David M/Bradley?
    New AI: Gary to look at this in 6 month window (ie. not August, but after).
- 1.3.5 - proposed text regarding extensibility and encoding. - Michael H?
- 1.5.5 - need text on decision to use XML approach - Phillipe?/Michael H?
    New AI: Michael H to take pass at text in WIKI.
- 3.2.3 - can it handle download URL - Bill S?
    yes.

- 1.5.4 - Character set used in spec
    - ok with UTF-8 or is there better choice - Phillipe? (F2F concern on filepath)
        UTF-8 is best choice, but issue with filepath should be understood.
        New AI: Michael H to ping Phillipe for details.

2 Identification Information

- 2.2.1.1 - Language to describe Major/Minor versions of spec. - pending
    - any draft to review - Jack M?
    New AI: Kate to ping Jack for outlook on update.   pending.

- 2.2.2, 5**.2.6** **- Unique Identifier/Hash on File - closed** **SHA-1**
    - based on discussion on mail list - SHA-1 - any concerns?
    Trend is from MD5 to SHA-1 so no issues raised.
    Security concerns about SHA-1 not an issue for these purposes.
    Tools are available to generate, so this seems a reasonable choice.

- 2.3.2 - **Generation Method - closed.**
    - any issue with "CreatedBy" proposal?
    no issues raised. accepted.
    - do we want to include email information for contact?
    ok, but make it optional.

- 2.2.5 - **Review - pending**

- rework proposal recommended at F2F - status? Bradley K?
New AI: Kate to ping**, Ro**ckett to f**ollow up**.

3 Common Ov**e**rview Information

- 3.2.3 Down**load URL - pending**
    - any iss**ues?**
    Concern raised, what if blank or not applicable.
    New AI: Michael H. to **make propo**sal

- 3.2.4 Source **Additonal Information - closed.**
    - optio**nal or** mandated?
    Agreed field is optional.

- 3.2.6 License(**s**) **Present - pending**
    - intent doesn't match example - possibly wording it as a summary? -
Rockett?
    New AI: Rockett to rev**isit int**ent field and update.
    - counts per license or not? next version? - Bill?
    Counts per license will be considered for the next version.
    This version of the spec will just list all the licens**es f**ound in the package
being analyzed.